File No 108823
Serial No. 9-11

G.R.S IX—5]

Previous references. May 17-22-(1-11) (1923 .) File No. 108
Serial Nos. 9-

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for April 1924

SUBJECT

Memorial from Mahadevaiah, Gaddaladoddi Math praying for a reconsideration of the G.O. dated 25th May 1923 permitting the use of two Chamaras by the Sirigere old Math in the Chitaldrug Taluq.

No 1388 dated 10.4.24 To the Memorialist

The matter has been finally disposed of by Govt. & that no notice will be taken of further petitions from him

G R. S. III—3]

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D.1003

Memorial Regd. no. 1003 addressed to H. H. The Maharaja by the Agent of Gadada Devaru matt at Holalkere complaining against the use of 2 chamaras by the Sirigere old matt in the Chitaldrug Taluk.

(This had been put up for ref. in connection with Sivavamabelagola matt Biradru).

Muz. T. no. 17-22 on the subject is put up.

The Dy. Comrs. order authorizing the use of 2 chamaras by the Sirigere old matt, was confirmed in S.O. no. 2887 dt 25.5.23 and the appeal of the memorialist was rejected. His review applications were subsequently rejected in each dt 5.6.23 and 9.7.23.

D

E. T.

There are no fresh grounds for a re-consideration of the above orders.

Submitted.

17/3/24

17.3.24

N B. -Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

2

1503

G. R. S.—III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

If appd, the petn may be taken up with the connected petns.
that no notice will be taken of further petns from him

11/8 24

2
25/3
Pers

26 Mch

454/1824 2nd memo

Recd for drafting on 9/4/24.

This petn has been wrongly lodged in the Commissr's

8.4.24.

DO. ent. Secr.

8/4/24

8/4/24

8/4/24

8.4.24

Ju
10
14

10. To the petitioner Mahadevaiah. No. 1288 d. 10.4.24. Informs him that his prayer regd to above cannot be reconsidered as the matter has been finally disposed of by Govt. To above. Encloses No. 1289 d. 11.4.24. Fds 2d copy of the above for info.

N. B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

235.12 3 1003

No. 1970. one Mr. N. Varadachar

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore, 18. 2. 1924.

Maj. 188-23-9.

9 FEB 192

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue ..... Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

By Order,

No. 543 of 23-24

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

4

To

The Private Secretary

to His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore,

MYSORE

Honoured Sir,

I, beg to enclose herewith my humble memorial addressed to His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore for favour of your kind perusal and submission.

I beg to submit that as grave injustice has been made in the case, your honour would be graciously pleased to interest yourself in the interests of justice and fair play and support my humble cause and secure justice at the Merciful Hands of Our Noble Sovereign. If I am graciously permitted to pay my humble respects to your honour in person, I shall feel highly favoured and wait upon your Honor on the appointed date and place. This favour will certainly help me to a very great extent.

I am afraid to say any more and also to encroach upon your most valuable time and as such I pray for your honours kind and merciful help in the matter.

For which act of grace I shall as in duty bound ever remain grateful.

I beg to remain

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

ಮಹದೇವಯ್ಯ ಗದ್ದದದೇವರ ಮಠ

(Mahadevayya, Gaddadadevara Mutt,
Holalkere, Chitaldrug District)

Dt 14 2 1924



5

To

HIS HIGHNESS

SREE KRISHNARAJENDRA WODAYAR BAHADUR, G.C.S.I.,

Maharaja of MYSORE,

May It Please Your Gracious Highness:

The humble memorial of Mahadevayya,
Gaddada Devara Mutt, residing
in Holalkere, Chitaldrug District:

Most Respectfully & Humbly Showeth:

1. That Your Highness' humble memorialist most respectfully and humbly begs leave to approach Your Gracious Highness with the following representation seeking the Dâvine Justice at Your Highness' merciful hands:

2. That Your Highness' humble memorialist begs to submit that the Sirigiri Old Mutt situate in Sirigiri in Bharmasagara Hobli, Chitaldrug Taluk has been granted with the permission of using certain insignia by the Benign Government in their Proceedings No.101-10-2Muz 68-08-2 dated Bangalore 29th October 1908. It has been very clearly stated what those insignias are that are to be made use of by the said opponent Mutt.

3. That inspite of such a clear order of the Benign Government the opponent Mutt (Sirigiri Old Mutt) has been trying its level best to make use of more honors which are unauthorised and illegal. To gain its object

the Mutt authorities have been resorting to all kinds of tactics.

4. That Your Highness' humble memorialist begs to submit that in giving effect to the said Government Order the then Deputy Commissioner (C.Venkata Rao,Esq) of the Chitaldrug District, has very clearly stated in his Endorsement dated 7-4-21 that the number of insignia to be used by the said opponent mutt is only one in the each item as per Government Order of 1908 and not more. (Copy herewith submitted for favour of perusal and ready reference).

5. That the said opponent Mutt again attempted to make use of Two Chamaras instead of one and when approached the authorities began to comment on the Government orders and show favour to the other side. Prior to this on 20-5-1917 also the then Deputy Commissioner (C.S.Balasundaram Iyer,Esq) has also clearly ordered them to use only such of the insignia noted in the margin of that order.

6. That at a subsequent attempt of the said Sirigiri Mutt to use two Chamaras instead of one as is granted by the said Government Order, the learned Deputy Commissioner construed that the word "Chamara" is a plural one and not singular and favoured them to use two chamaras quite contrary to the manul and government orders. Further his order is contrary to the one passed by his predecessor in office on 7-4-21 and this is also one passed without any jurisdiction.

7. That Your Highness' humble memorialist begs to submit that UBHAYA CHAMARAS constitute a special

Honour and to allow unauthorised people to use it is not only contrary to the mamul,Government Orders and also lowers down very much the legitimate holders in the estimation of the General Public.

8. That the benign Government seems to have made up their mind never to interfere in the proceedings - adopted by the District Officers and their Subordinates even though gravest injustice has crept in this case. The most wonderful and unfortunate phase of the case is that in no occasion neither the learned Deputy Commission nor the Benign Government have been pleased to allow the humble memorialist to explain the matter and submit thé unimpeachable records in his possession in support of his case in person. All the orders that have been passed are béhind his back.

9 That the memorialist begs to submit that the question is a very simple one. Gramatical interpretation is made use of in addition to the personalities and the clearest possible Government Order interpreted wrongly. No doubt the order of the Deputy Commissioner dated 7-4-21 will also put a stop for such wrong interpretations, but against whom and how to prove the same has become a great problem as the gates of justices is closed and sealed against Your Highness' Humble Memorialist. The Government are also pleased to say that no attention will be paid to the petitions of the memorialist in the matter in future.

10. That Your Highness' humble memorialist most respectfully begs to submit that a kind perusal of the previous orders and proceedings in the case would have

convinced any officer about the illegal actions of the Opponent Mutt and the justice on the part of the memorialist. A sympathetic perusal and consideration are wanting in this most unfortunate case since its commencement. Appeals to the Benign Government are bringing the steriotyped endorsements stating that there are no grounds to interfere in the matter. That when their very orders are interpreted in a wrong way just to suit the interests of the parsons interested therein and when there are orders of the predecessors in office (Deputy Commissioners) as clear as day light, the humble memorialist feels unable to understand what more evidence is required by the Government to do justice in the matter. Further if an opportunity had only been given to the memorialist he would have proved that the said Mutt has no locus standi as a Mutt by means of authenticated copies of Government Orders both of our Benign Government and that of His Imperial Majesty. Unfortunately the subject is treated arbitrarily and the grave injustice done in the matter.

That Your Noble Highness being the source of all honour and the only and last refuge of the distressed in the land, the humble memorialist ventures to hope that justice is sure to be done in the matter and the honor of the Memorialist Mutt up-held.

For which act of grace the memorialist as in duty bound ever remains highly grateful.

ముద్దకృష్ణయ్యర్ వర్ధమాన మునక

తే. 14 2 1924

చిత్తూరు జిల్లా కోర్టు వారి రెవెన్యూ రికార్డు శాఖ

ఆర్డరు నెంబరు

చిత్తూరు కోర్టు తాలూకా శిరస్తా వారి కార్యాలయంలో దరఖాస్తు చేసుకున్న

తిరుపతి వెంకటేశ్వర్లు తండ్రి వెంకటరమణ

ఇంటిపేరు వేలూరు —

1 తాలూకా —
2 వేలూరు —
3 హిందూ మతం
4 రెడ్డి —
5 వ్యవసాయం
6 అవివాహితుడు
7 సంవత్సరం పైబడి
8 అమ్మగారింటి పేరు

శరీరశీర్షం దగ్గర మిగతా ఈ ఆర్డర్ పేపర్ నందు ఇవ్వబడినది. తేదీ 17-5-17 న ఈ ఆర్డర్ ప్రకారం తిరుపతి వెంకటేశ్వర్లు తండ్రి వెంకటరమణ గారి పేరు మీద ఉన్న ఆస్తిని అనుభవించుటకు అర్హులు అని తీర్పు ఇవ్వబడినది.

ఇట్లు
తిరుపతి వెంకటేశ్వర్లు

(సంతకం)

Sd/ G. Venkata Ramana
Deputy Collector

Ref ou No 227 Mun. 16-17

చిత్రదుర్గ దడ్డి డివిజన్ కమిషనర్ గారికి

హొళలూరవర ఆఫీసు నుండి

చిత్రదుర్గ దుగనగద తాలూకు కొలు శంసుగి రెవెన్యూ అధికారులు బాళ్ళారి డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ మజరాయి పాలు పత్తే గారు మరుదాన వక్ష్ నాయంగి ఇండ్యాల్ల

శ్రీ మజు శంసుగి హళ్ళి మజరాయి డాలు మోకదారుగళు సరువ పట్టే దక్షా మిగళు ఈ దిక్ష్ కిస్సె ఆ మళిసంబా రక్షోంరడువు దాసిగళు మజరా ఈ బాళ్ళి లల్లి కోళ్ళి నేమ కిట్టూం నఉజిగళ్ళకె వాయిసిరు.

1 పాలకి.
2. మోన
3. కేంపుబెక్కి
4 కి-హళ్ళి
5 బిళి మర
6 అక్కసాలి
7 నగ్గరకెట్టె
8 ంబలక్కినమోగి

లంగాయిసిందలూ ఉపయోగిసుత్తిద్దకె బాంజు దక్షినవలూ దాసువ బిరుదుగళుం దినబి శ్రదుగనద డిక్ష్ కిస్సె నదరం పట్టే దక్షా మిగళు బంబిరక్షోంరదలు ఇదరిందఅప్పణోకం డిసిరుత్తె.

ముందెయుం యావ విరోధ వాదంయూవకాయిసుగళు నడెసుదం కేంయూంబమజరా బినగళ్ళనేమ్మె దాగేకుం దకె-పాంగదంకేంయూం నోంది డిసిం ళ్ళబేకెయు

కిళిసంబ్రక్షోంబదుగనగ

20/5/1917. (Sd) T. S. Balasundaram Iyer
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

Copy

H. C. Narayan
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog - District

Date of application 15-8-1917
Copy ready on 20 8 1917
Copy delivered on 20. 8. 1917

Number of works 85
Copying fees — 1—6
Comparing fees " — 4 — 4

Total £ 4. — 1 — 10.

20/8/17

G. R. S. VII—4/8]

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ
ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರಿಟರಿಯಟ್‌ನಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಹೆ ತ್ತೆ ದುನಗದ ಚಿಕ್ಕಿಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು
ಕೈ ಗಾಣಲಸೆ ಕೆಸ್ತಾವದ ಸಿಂಬಿಂಬಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ
ನ ಮ್ಮಿದ ದೇವಪ್ಪರ ಮಾತದ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ
ಮೇರೆ ದೇವಪ್ಪಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ — ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂಬರು 607 / 113-ಬಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ತಾರೀಖು 10th Nov. 1922

ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ವಿಷಯಪಟ್ಟಿ — ನೀವು — ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೊದಲಿನವನ್ನು ಇಂದಾಲ್ಲಿ
ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ನಿಂದಗಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲಿನವನ್ನು ಇದ್ದಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ
— ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮೇಲಿನವರು ಕಾರ್ಯ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಂ 2952/Inz 17-22-9 ತಂ. 5-6-23.
26/Inz 17-22-11 ತಂ 9-7-23

By Order,

Secretary to the Government.
Revenue Dept

BEFORE THE DEWAN OF MYSORE BANGALORE

Muz. Appeal No. of 23-24.

yya, Gaddadadevara Mutt,
...re, Chitaldrug Dist. ... Petitioner

-vs-

Mahadevayya Parpathegar Sirigiri
Old Mutt, Sirigiri in Bharma
sagara Hobli, Chitaldrug Taluk. ... Opponent.

The petitioner abovenamed most humbly and respectfully beg leave to approach your honour with his humble appeal against the endorsement No.26/Muz.17-22-11 dated 9-7-23 issued by the Government (Muzrai Department) in the matter of using Chamaras by the opponent mutt.

1. That to the great misfortune of the petitioner ever since the matter was brought before the Government for a proper enquiry and decision it is being decided behind the back of the petitioner without favouring him an opportunity to explain the complicated nature of the question and wrong and interested interpretations that are being made and put upon by the Local authorities of the wordings in the Government order.

2. That the benign government observes in the endorsement under appeal that they find no reason to interfere with the decision of the Deputy Commissioner.

3. That the reasons are many and if an opportunity had been favoured to him, he would have certainly proved his contention to the hilt, and there would have been no room for any injustice to be crept in. Even a perusal of the application of the opponent mutt for the grant of a license and the order of the Deputy Commissioner (Mr.G.S.

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer) dated 19th May 1917 and 20th May 1917 respectively, thereon would have clearly pointed out the mala fide intention of the opponent and the intentional wrong and misleading interpretation put upon by him.

4. That the petitioner is ever ready to prove that the mutt in question has no locus standi as a mutt by means of authenticated copies of Government orders both of our benign Government and that of his Majesty.

5. That the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Syed Taj Peeran Saheb) has taken a wrong view of the case. Even though very humbly prayed for a hearing in the matter, he was pleased to decide and pass the orders behind the back of the petitioner.

6. That the petitioner respectfully begs to submit that personalities seems to have played a greater part in this case thus ignoring the principles of justice and fair play.

7 That the interpretation made by the Amildar Saheb Mr. H. Srinivasa Rao in his order dated 1-7-27 passed in favour of the opponent is worth noticing by the benign Government. This will also throw enough of light as to how injustice is being allowed to make its way in this case.

8. That this is a question of communal one. It needs no mention that if justice is not done in this case, it may lead to disturbances , inspite of precautions when it wounds the religious susceptibilities of a particular community, or when the other party makes too much of their successes over the right party.

9. That to grant and allow titles honours and Insignias to be used by unauthorised individuals or bodies will greatly lower down the legitimate holders in the estimation of the general public.

10. That it is highly unfortunate to see that the Local Officers make too much of singular terminations of the wordings of Government Orders and proceedings just to suit their conveniences, instead of referring such doubts if any to the Government alone for a clear understanding. In these circumstances the orders of the benign Government will hardly take any effect. And it further leads to prolonged and costly litigation ruining the petitioner.

11. That the petitioner prays that your honour may, in the interests of justice, granting a hearing to the petitioner, peruse the records that are in his possession and decide the case. Further if your nobleself thinks it necessary the matter may be decided in the Council under your noble chair.

12. That the petitioner feels quite sure that if the matter is once again referred to the other officers there will be really a failure of justice and the petitioner's troubles increased.

Bangalore.
27-9-1923.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore.

Read: Petition registered No3322 dated 8th January 1907 from Gurusiddaswami of the Sirigiri New Mutt, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District dated 21st February 1906, declining to grant license to the swami to go in procession with certain insignia until he established his right to them in a Civil Court.

Petition reg. No.4257 dated 16th March 1907 from Sivalingappa Agent of the Sirigiri Old Mutt, opposing the above application of the New Mutt.

Petition regd. No.5142 dated 13th May 1907 from the diciples of the Murgi and the Balehalli Mutts and petition dated 17th July 1907 from the diciplies of the Ujjini Mutt praying that neither the old nor the new Sirigiri Mutt should be permitted to use any insignia in the absence of a Royal Sannad.

Correspondence ending with D.O. letter dated 15th February 1908 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug on the subject.

O r d e r thereon

No.101-10-Muz 60-08-2 dated Bangalore 29th October 1908.

At Sirigiri in the Chitaldrug Taluk, there are two Sadhu Lingayat Mutts, viz. The Tharalabalu Dodda Mutt otherwise known as the Sirigiri Old Mutt, which appears to have come into existence about 60 or 70 years ago and the Sirigiri New Mutt which was established about the year 1886.

2. Under a decree of a judicial assisstant commissioner Chitaldrug District, passed in 1873 and confirmed in appeal by the Commissioner of Nagar Division and the Judicial Commissioner of Mysore, the old mutt has been in the enjoyment of the

1. Palaki
2. Pena
3. Kempuchatri
4. Pahale
5. Chamara.&Pattagiri
7. Gaggarkattige.&Yettin Pelina Gari

} m rginally noted 8 insignia.

3. About the year 65-66 some diciplies of the old mutt seeded from it and set up a mutt of their own which has come to be known as the Sirigiri New Mutt. The swami of this new mutt was, in the beginning permitted by the Local authorities to go in procession on horse back attended by music. As the adherents of the new mutt increased in numbers they obtained from their mutt, from time to time permission to parade with certain insignia which the local authorities considered as unobjectionable and the records show that by the end of 1868 the new mutt had acquired inspite of the opposition of the Old Mutt the use of the marginally noted four Insignia. In 1904 the Guru of the new mutt applied to the Deputy Commissioner for a license to go in procession with all the eight insignia that were being enjoyed by the old mutt on the ground that they were all ordinary ones that could be used by any person. The Deputy Commissioner Mr.H.Ramanuja Iyengar in his first order dated 29th September 1905 permitted the swami of the New Mutt to go in procession with only the four insignia which he had been already allowed to use, but subsequently on the representation of the old mutt, had held a fresh enquiry and cancelled his previous order and directed the swami of the new mutt to establish his right to the insignia in a Civil Court. Against this order the swami of the new mutt has appealed to Government. He prays that the second order of the Deputy Commissioner may be set aside and that he may be allowed to use all the eight insignia claimed by him on the ground that they are as admitted by the old mutt itself in 1873 ordinary ones that could be used even by private persons on festive occasions.

ena
Kempuchatri
Pahale
Bajabajantri.

4 The Sirigiri Old Mutt opposes the above prayer on the ground that the insignia in question are exclusive to itself-that it is the sole religious head of the Sadhu Lingayat Community, that the new mutt is of a very recent origin being a seceder from

the old mutt and that it has no namul to support its claim.

5 The Kurgi, Balehalli and Ujjini Matts contend on the other hand that neither of the Sadhu Lingayat Mutts belong to any of the recognised religious institutions of the Lingayat community, that they both are of recent origin, that they do not possess any royal grant or sannad and are therefore not entitled to parade with insignia.

6 The case was heard before the government all the parties being duly represented by their Advocates.

7 First as regards the claim of the Sirigiri New Mutt It is observed that this mutt had enjoyed the use of the four insignia, viz., Pena, Kempuchatri, Pahale Pajabajanthri since 1888 and that such enjoyment was considered by the local authorities as unobjectionable. There is nothing to show that the old mutt is the sole religious head of the Sadhu Lingayat Community. From the statements furnished by the Deputy Commissioners of Kadur - Shimoga and Chitaldrug, it is further observed that the new mutt is also administering to the religious wants of a section of the community. Under these circumstances Government are of opinion that the second order of the Deputy Commissioner dated 21st February 1906 referring the New Mutt to a Civil Court was not proper that order will therefore be set aside and the present enjoyment of the four insignia by the Swami of the new mutt will be left undisturbed.

8 As regards the objections raised by the Kurugi, Balehalli and Ujjain Mutts: Although His Highness the Late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur III discountenanced the establishment of Schismaticmutts by Sadars and Nonabars and the executive authorities during the commission days also refused to grant any insignia to the Sirigiri Old Mutt yet that mutt appears to have unauthorized used certain insignia and later on got its right to use them decreed by a Civil Court in 1873. Such decree being also confirmed

the highest Court viz that of the judicial commissioner in 1874 since when the mutt has been enjoying the eight insignia mentioned in para 2 above without any challenge. Although, therefore the mutt does not possess any Royal grant or Sannad, yet Government do not see sufficient reason to deprive it now of any of these eight insignia which it has been enjoying for a long time. They therefore direct that it may be permitted to use them undisturned.

In this connection Government deem it necessary to make a few observations regarding the practice on the part of the District officers of referring questions relating to the insignia to be used by heads of mutts and religious institutions for decisions by Civil Courts, Govt. think that civil courts are not the proper authorities for dealing with questions of this kind. It is the decisions of the civil courts that have been a fruitful source of disputes between contending factions in regard to the use of these insignia. The practice seems to have crept during the days of the British Commission as there is available evidence on record to show that these matters previously used to be referred for decision before His Highness the Maharaja or other executive authorities only. Government are therefore pleased to lay down that on questions relating to the use of insignia parties be not referred to civil courts but that all such questions be submitted to Government for orders and that the orders passed by the Government be strictly enforced.

Sd. K.V. Chandrasekhara Iyer
Secy.Govt. Genl. & Rev
Departments.

20

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.
File No. 108-23.
Serial No. 16.

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
, *the* 192 .

No. 1358

Date of issue 10.4.24

Signed by [illegible]

To

Endorsement granted to Mahadevaiah, Gaddada Devara mani, residing in Holalkere, Chitaldrug Taluk, with ref. to his memorial regd. no. 1003 dy 14.2.24 addressed to H.H. The Maharaja praying for a re-consideration of the G.O. No. 2887/Muz 17-22 dy 25th Nov. May 1923

2)

permitting the use of
2 chamanas by the
Sirigere old mail in the
chitaldrug Taluk.

In reply, the Commissioner
is informed that the
matter has been
finally disposed of by
Govt. and that no
notice will be taken
of further petitions
from him.

By order,

8/4/24

Dy Secretary,
Rev. Dept.

8/4/24

Copy to the Mag. 1384 8,00 14-24

Copied by

Examined by

Comr

8/4/24

Dy Secy Rev

8/4/24

WD 0563—GPB—2 500—27 7 22

List of enclosures.